Compliance Report Year Ended June 30, 2009

McGladrey & Pullen

Certified Public Accountants

Contents

Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	1-3
Notes to schedule of expenditures of federal awards	4-5
Independent Auditor's Report on:	
Internal control over financial reporting and on compliance and other matters based on an audit of financial statements performed in accordance with <i>Government Auditing Standards</i> Compliance with requirements applicable to its major programs and on internal control over compliance in accordance with OMB Circular A-133 and on the schedule of expenditures of	6-7
federal awards	8-9
Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs	10-11
Summary Schedule of Prior Year Audit Findings	12

Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards Year Ended June 30, 2009

	Federal Pass-Through Entity CFDA Identifying Number/		Federal	
Federal Grantor/Pass-Through Grantor Program or Cluster Title	Number	Grant Number	Expenditures	
U.S. Department of Agriculture Pass-through Los Angeles County Department of				
Community and Senior Citizens Services:				
Food Distribution Program	10.570	AAA-ENP2-0809-009	\$ 28,196	
Total U.S. Department of Agriculture	10.010	7001 2111 2 0000 000	28,196	
U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development: Direct Programs:				
Community Development Block Grant Entitlement Program	14.218	B-08-MC-06-0518	3,253,188	
Emergency Shelter Grants Program	14.231	S-08-MC-06-0518	156,143	
Home Investment Partnership Act	14.239*	M-08-MC-06-0512	2,895,271	
Supportive Housing Program				
2004 Chester Street Permanent Supportive Housing	14.235	CA16B412-001	164,425	
2005 S+C Chronic Homeless Prog	14.235	CA16C512-001	24,835	
2004 SHP Renewal - Scatter Site	14.235	CA16B612-006	35,219	
2005 SHP Renewal - Hamilton Court	14.235	CA16B612-009	60,128	
2005 SHP Renewal SSO PATH ACH	14.235	CA16B612-005	134,342	
2005 SHP Renewal - HMIS	14.235	CA16B612-003	27,689	
SHP New Horizon Family Care Center	14.235	CA16B612-008	3,509	
SHP renewal project - Scattered Site Family Transitional Housing	14.235	CA16B712-006	123,354	
SHP renewal project - Hamilton Court Transitional Housing Project	14.235	CA16B712-010	83,775	
2007 SHP renewal project - Freedom House	14.235	CA16B712-008	44,360	
SHP renewal project - PATH Achieve SSO	14.235	CA16B712-004	330,439	
SHP renewal project - HMIS	14.235	CA16B712-005	67,668	
SHP New Horizons Family Care Center	14.235	CA16B712-009	15,458	
SHP renewal - Next Step	14.235	CA16B712-003	107,749	
SHP renewal project - PATH Ventures	14.235	CA16B712-002	143,297	
Subtotal Shelter Plus Care			1,366,247	
Special Needs Assistance - 2001 Shelter Plus Care Grant	14.238	CA16C112-001	106,426	
Special Needs Assistance - 1999 Renewal Shelter Plus Care Grant	14.238	CA16C712-012	69,398	
Special Needs Assistance - 1998 Renewal Shelter Plus Care Grant	14.238	CA16C712-011	106,440	
Special Needs Assistance - 1998 Renewal Shelter Plus Care Grant	14.238	CA0724C9D120801	17,217	
Special Needs Assistance - 1998 Renewal Shelter Plus Care Grant	14.238	CA0724C9D120801	25,915	
Subtotal	11.200	0/10/2/002/2000/	325,396	
Section 8-Housing Assistance-Voucher Program	14.871	CA114V	14,485,144	
Total U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development			22,481,389	
U.S. Attorney's Office				
Pass-through California Emergency Management Agency				
Project Safe Neighborhoods	16.609	US08 01 6763	8,690	
Total U.S. Attorney's Office			8,690	

See Notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards.

Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards Year Ended June 30, 2009

Federal Grantor/Pass-Through Grantor Program or Cluster Title	Federal Pass-Through Entity CFDA Identifying Number/ am or Cluster Title Number Grant Number E		Federal Expenditures	
U.S. Department of Justice:				
Direct Programs:				
Asset Forfeiture	16.000	N/A	\$ 127,655	
Edward Duma Mamarial Justice Assistance Creat Dresses				
Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant Program	16 720	2007 D I DV 0004	4 146	
2005 Justice Assistance Grant	16.738 16.738	2007-DJ-BX-0001	4,146	
2006 Justice Assistance Grant 2008 Justice Assistance Grant	16.738	2007-DJ-BX-0863	734	
	16.736	2008-DJ-BX-0099	11,557	
Anti-Gang Initiative Grant	10.744	200700107	14,503	
Subtotal Total II S. Department of Justice			30,940	
Total U.S. Department of Justice			158,595	
U.S. Department of Labor				
Pass-through State of California Employment Development Department:				
Workforce Investment Act Cluster				
15% Pilot Spec (Incentive Award) 1/2008 - 12/2008	17.267	R865499	27,000	
National Emergency Grant	17.260	R865499	33,627	
WIA Economic Slowdown	17.260	R865499	26,031	
WIA Adult 2008-2009	17.258	R865499	78,106	
WIA Adult 2008-2009	17.258	R970576	553,367	
WIA Youth 2008-2009	17.259	R865499	252,434	
WIA Youth 2009-2010	17.259	R970576	421,547	
WIA Dislocated Worker 2008-2009	17.260	R970576	607,842	
WIA Dislocated Worker 2007-2008	17.260	R865499	103,711	
WIA Rapid Response	17.260	R970576	518,158	
WIA Interstate Bakery D/W 2008-2009	17.260	R760363	84,880	
WIA 25% DW Augment AA	17.260	R970576	10,532	
Total Workforce Investment Act Cluster			2,717,235	
WIA Title I Adult ARRA	ARRA-17.258	R970576	1,932	
WIA Dislocated Worker ARRA	ARRA-17.260	R970576	2,010	
WIA Youth ARRA	ARRA-17.259	R970576	2,071	
Total funded under American Recovery and Reinvestment Act			6,013	
of 2009				
Total U.S. Department of Labor			2,723,248	
HOD (1.57 1.5				
U.S. Department of Transportation				
Pass-through State Department of Transportation:				
Highway Planning and Construction Cluster	00.005*	DD0TDL 5444(000)	004 700	
SR134 & San Fernando Phase II	20.205*	RPSTPL-5144(028)	204,736	
SR134 & San Fernando Phase II	20.205*	IPSTPL-5144 (024)	(304,344)	
Glenoaks Blvd Rehabilitation	20.205*	STPLH-5144(038)	2,809,191	
Total Highway Planning and Construction Cluster			2,709,583	
Capital Assistance Program for Elderly Persons and Persons with Disabilities				
6 Accessible Paratransit Vehicles	20.513	SA646517-10	251 125	
Total U.S. Department of Transportation	20.013	3/1040317-10	<u>251,425</u> 2,961,008	
Total C.O. Department of Transportation			2,301,000	

See Notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards.

Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards Year Ended June 30, 2009

	Federal CFDA	CFDA Identifying Number/	
Federal Grantor/Pass-Through Grantor Program or Cluster Title	Number	Grant Number	Expenditures
Institute of Museum and Library Services Pass-through California State Library:			
Grants to States			
English Literary - WIA	45.310	84002022	\$ 8,771
Total Institute of Museum and Library Services			8,771
Environmental Protection Agency Direct Programs:			
Chromium 6 Removal Studies III STAG: Congressionally Mandated Projects	66.202	XP-96990301	320,893
Chromium 6 Removal Studies III S&T	66.606	X-96916501	49
Total Environmental Protection Agency			320,942
U.S. Department of Health and Human Services Pass-through Los Angeles County Department of Community and Senior Citizens Services: Aging Cluster			
Special Programs for the Aging-Title III, Part B	93.044	AAA-ENP2-0809-009	906
Special Programs for the Aging-Title III, Part C	93.045	AAA-ENP2-0809-009	213,370
Total Aging Cluster			214,276
Special Programs for the Aging - Title VII Total U.S. Department of Health and Human Services	93.042	40126	68,340 282,616
Social Security-Work Incentives Planning and Assistance Programs Direct Programs:			
Social Security Administration 4/2008 - 3/2009	96.008	14-W-50006-9-03	98,241
Social Security Administration 4/2009 - 3/2010	96.008	14-W-50006-9-04	6,707
Total Social Security Administration			104,948
Department of Homeland Security: Pass-through Governor's Office of Emergency Services:			
Public Assistance Grants (January 2005 Rainstorm)	97.036	FEMA-1577-DR-CA	98,513
Public Assistance Grants (February 2005 Rainstorm) Subtotal	97.036	FEMA-1585-DR-CA	23,349 121,862
Subtotal			121,862
Pass-through State Office of Homeland Security: Homeland Security Cluster			
FY 2006 State Homeland Security Grant	97.004*	2006-0071	550
FY 2005 Metropolitan Medical Response System Total Homeland Security Cluster	97.067*	2003-0023	493,669 494,219
•			
Pass-through City of Los Angeles:	07.007*	0005.45	4 400 047
FY 2006 Urban Area Security Initiative: Homeland Security Grant FY 2006 Urban Area Security Initiative: Homeland Security Grant	97.067* 97.067*	2005-15 2005-15	1,128,017 950,000
Subtotal	31.001	2000-10	2,078,017
Total Department of Homeland Security			2,694,098
Total Expenditures of Federal Awards			\$ 31,772,501

CFDA - Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance

See Notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards.

^{*} Denotes major program or cluster

Note 1. Basis of Presentation

The accompanying Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards (the Schedule) presents the activity of all federal award programs of the City of Glendale, California (City). The Schedule includes federal awards received directly from federal agencies, as well as federal awards passed through other agencies. The City's reporting entity is defined in Note 1 to the City's basic financial statements. Because the Schedule presents only a selected portion of the operations of the City, it is not intended to, and does not, present the financial position of the City.

The accompanying Schedule is presented on the modified-accrual basis of accounting. The information in this Schedule is presented in accordance with the requirements of OMB Circular A-133, *Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations.* Therefore, some amounts presented in this Schedule may differ from amounts presented in, or used in the preparation of, the basic financial statements.

Note 2. Workforce Investment Act

The City, along with the cities of Burbank and La Cañada Flintridge established a joint powers agreement on August 23, 2005, named the Verdugo Consortium (Consortium) for the purpose of receiving a Workforce Investment Act (WIA) allocation and administering the WIA program as a single participating jurisdiction. This agreement designates the Arroyo Verdugo Cities Steering Committee, which consists of one elected official from each of the three cities, to appoint Verdugo Workforce Investment Board members. The City, the Consortium's lead entity, has assumed the overall responsibility for ensuring that the Consortium is compliant with all WIA program requirements, including the receipt, disbursement and accounting of all WIA program and matching funds.

Note 3. Subrecipients

Of the federal expenditures presented in the Schedule, the City provided federal awards to subrecipients as follows:

Federal	
CFDA	
Program Title Provided Number	Amount
U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development	
Community Development Block Grant Program 14.218	\$ 1,168,265
Emergency Services Grant Program 14.231	156,143
Supportive Housing Program 14.235	1,166,481
Total U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development	2,490,889
U.S. Department of Labor	
Workforce Investment Act Cluster: WIA Adult Activities 17.258	20,000
Workforce Investment Act Cluster: WIA Dislocated Worker Activities 17.260	20,000
Workforce Investment Act Cluster: WIA Rapid ResponseActivities 17.260	20,000
Workforce Investment Act Cluster: WIA Youth Activities 17.259	446,993
Total U.S. Department of Labor	506,993
Total Amount Provided to Subrecipients	\$ 2,997,882

Notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards

Note 4. California Emergency Management Agency (CalEMA) Disclosures

The following schedule represents the detail of expenditures for the CalEMA program for the year ended June 30, 2009:

	Fed	eral/State			
		Match	Loca	l Match	Total
Project Safe Neighborhoods					
Grant Award Number US08 01 6763:					
Personal Services	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -
Operating Expenses		8,690		-	8,690
Equipment		-		-	-
	\$	8,690	\$	-	\$ 8,690

McGladrey & Pullen

Certified Public Accountants

Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance With Government Auditing Standards

To the Honorable Mayor and Members of the City Council City of Glendale, CA

We have audited the financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, each major fund and the aggregate remaining fund information of the City of Glendale, California (City), as of and for the year ended June 30, 2009, which collectively comprise the City's basic financial statements, and have issued our report thereon, dated November 24, 2009. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit, we considered the City's internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control over financial reporting.

A control deficiency exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent or detect misstatements on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a control deficiency, or combination of control deficiencies, that adversely affects the entity's ability to initiate, authorize, record, process or report financial data reliably in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles such that there is more than a remote likelihood that a misstatement of the entity's financial statements that is more than inconsequential will not be prevented or detected by the entity's internal control.

A material weakness is a significant deficiency, or combination of significant deficiencies, that results in more than a remote likelihood that a material misstatement of the financial statements will not be prevented or detected by the entity's internal control.

Our consideration of internal control over financial reporting was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and would not necessarily identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be significant deficiencies or material weaknesses. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that we consider to be material weaknesses, as defined above.

Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the City's financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit and, accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

We noted certain matters that we reported to management of the City in a separate letter dated November 24, 2009.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the board of commissioners, management, federal awarding agencies, and pass-through entities and is not intended to be, and should not be, used by anyone other than those specified parties.

Pasadena, CA

November 24, 2009

McGladrey of Pullen, LCP

McGladrey & Pullen

Certified Public Accountants

Independent Auditor's Report on Compliance With Requirements Applicable to Each Major Program and on Internal Control Over Compliance in Accordance with OMB Circular A-133

To the Honorable Mayor and Members of the City Council City of Glendale, CA

Compliance

We have audited the compliance of the City of Glendale, California (City), with the types of compliance requirements described in the U.S. Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A-133, *Compliance Supplement* that are applicable to each of its major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2009. The City's major federal programs are identified in the summary of the auditor's results section of the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs. Compliance with the requirements of laws, regulations, contracts and grants applicable to each of its major federal programs is the responsibility of the City's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the City's compliance based on our audit.

We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and OMB Circular A-133, *Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations*. Those standards and OMB Circular A-133 require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on major federal program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the City's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion. Our audit does not provide a legal determination on the City's compliance with those requirements.

In our opinion, the City complied, in all material respects, with the requirements referred to above that are applicable to each of its major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2009.

Internal Control Over Compliance

The management of the City is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with requirements of laws, regulations, contracts and grants applicable to federal programs. In planning and performing our audit, we considered the City's internal control over compliance with requirements that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program in order to determine our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on compliance, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control over compliance.

A *control deficiency* in an entity's internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent or detect noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency* is a control deficiency, or combination of control deficiencies, that adversely affects the entity's ability to administer a federal program such that there is more than a remote likelihood that noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program that is more than inconsequential will not be prevented or detected by the entity's internal control.

A material weakness is a significant deficiency, or combination of significant deficiencies, that results in more than a remote likelihood that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program will not be prevented or detected by any entity's internal control.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and would not necessarily identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be significant deficiencies or material weaknesses. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses, as defined above.

Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards

McGladrey of Pullen, LCP

We have audited the financial statements of the governmental activities, business type activities, each major fund and the aggregate remaining fund information of the City as of and for the year ended June 30, 2009, which collectively comprise the City's basic financial statements, and have issued our report thereon, dated November 24, 2009. Our audit was performed for the purpose of forming opinions on the basic financial statements taken as a whole. The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal awards is presented for purposes of additional analysis as required by OMB Circular A-133 and is not a required part of the basic financial statements. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and, in our opinion, is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the mayor, City Council, management, federal awarding agencies and pass-through entities and is not intended to be, and should not be, used by anyone other than those specified parties.

Pasadena, CA

November 24, 2009

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs Year Ended June 30, 2009

I.	I. Summary of Independent Auditor's Results						
	Financial Statements						
	Туре	e of auditor's report iss	ued: Unqualified				
	Inter	rnal control over financ	ial reporting:				
	•	Material weakness(es	s) identified?		Yes	Х	No
	•	Significant deficiency((ies) identified that are not erial weaknesses?		_ Yes _	X	None Reported
	Non	compliance material to	financial statements noted?		_ Yes _	Х	No
	Fed	eral Awards					
	Inter	rnal control over major	programs:				
	Material weakness(es) identified?			Yes	Х	No	
	•	Significant deficiency(considered to be mate	(ies) identified that are not erial weakness(es)?		Yes	X	None Reported
Type of auditor's report issued on compliance for major programs: Unqualified							
	 Any audit findings disclosed that are required to be reported in accordance with Section 510(a) of OMB Circular A-133? 				Yes	X	No
Identification of major programs:							
	CF	DA Number(s)	Name of Federal Program or Cluster				
	20.	239 205 004/97.067	Home Investment Partnership Act Highway Planning and Construction C Homeland Security Cluster	luster			
	Dolla	ar threshold used to dis	stinguish between type A and type B pro	ograms:	\$ <u>953,175</u>		
Auditee qualified as low-risk auditee? X Yes				Yes		No	

City of Glendale

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, Continued Year Ended June 30, 2009

I. Financial Statement Findings

A. Internal Control

None reported.

B. Compliance Findings

None reported.

II. Findings and Questioned Costs for Federal Awards

A. Internal Control

None reported.

B. Compliance Findings

None reported.

City of Glendale

Summary Schedule of Prior Year Audit Findings Year Ended June 30, 2009

The prior year findings shown below were directly obtained from the June 30, 2008 Single Audit Report.

I. Financial Statement Findings

A. Internal Control

None reported.

B. Compliance Findings

None reported.

II. Findings and Questioned Costs for Federal Awards

A. Internal Control

None reported.

B. Compliance Findings

None reported.