City of Glendale Community Development Department Design Review Staff Report – Multi-Family

Meeting/Decision Date: October 11, 2021	Address: 3419 Montrose Avenue		
	APN: 5607-004-032		
Case Number: PDR2106786	Applicant: Helbert Moradian		
Prepared By: Danny Manasserian, Planning Associate	Owner: Arbita Nersesian		

Project Summary

The applicant is proposing to construct additions at the front and rear of the existing house, build a new twostory residential unit and garages behind the house, and demolish the existing garage. The property is located in the R-3050 (Moderate Density Residential) zone.

The proposed work includes:

- Constructing a new 1,140 SF, two-story, residential unit with an attached 800 SF two-car garage at the rear of the property. The new unit proposes 500 square feet on the first floor and 640 square feet on the second floor with an attached 800 square-foot, two-car garage (two spaces serving the front unit).
- Demolishing the existing two-car garage
- Additions totaling 266 SF to the front and rear of the existing 864 SF single-family house
- Façade remodel to front house
- An accessory dwelling unit (ADU) is proposed at the rear of the lot, which will be processed under a separate permit.

Existing Property/Background

The site is currently developed with a one-story 864 square-foot single-family house and a detached two-car garage accessed by a driveway along the west side of the property.

Staff Recommendation

Approve	Approve with Conditions	Return for Redesign	Deny	

Last Date Reviewed / Decision

\ge	First time submittal for final review.
	Other:

Zone: R3050 - Moderate Density Residential

Although this design review does not convey final zoning approval, the project has been reviewed for consistency with the applicable Codes and no inconsistencies have been identified.

Active/Pending Permits and Approvals

\boxtimes	None
	Other

CEQA Status:

The project is exempt from CEQA review as a Class 1 "Existing Facilities" exemption pursuant to Section

15301 of the State CEQA Guidelines because

The project is exempt from CEQA review as a Class 3 "New Construction or Conversion of Small Structures" exemption pursuant to Section 15303 of the State CEQA Guidelines because this project involves an addition to the main house and an addition of a second dwelling unit.

- The project is exempt from CEQA review as a Class 32 "Infill Development" exemption pursuant to Section 15332 of the State CEQA Guidelines because
- Other:

Site Slope and Grading

- None proposed
- Less than 50% current average slope and less than 1500 cubic yards of earth movement (cut and/or fill); no additional review required.
- ☐ 1500 cubic yards or greater of earth movement:

50% or greater current average slope:

DESIGN ANALYSIS

Site Planning

Are the following items satisfactory and compatible with the project site and surrounding area?

Building Location

🛛 yes 🗌 n/a 🗌 no

If "no" select from below and explain:

 \Box Setbacks of buildings on site

□ Prevailing setbacks on the street

Yards and Usable Open Space

🛛 yes 🗌 n/a 🗌 no

If "no" select from below and explain:

Outdoor space integrated into site design and acknowledges adjacent development

Common space easily accessible from all units

□ Appropriate separation/screening from residential units

 $\Box \mbox{Discrete}$ seating and amenity areas allow for multiple users

Garage Location and Driveway

🛛 yes 🗌 n/a 🗌 no

If "no" select from below and explain:

 \Box Garage fully integrated into overall structure

 $\Box \, \text{Driveway}$ and curb-cut widths minimized

Grade-level garages and parking, if allowed, are appropriately screened from the street

Decorative paving complements building design

 \Box Stairs and lifts to subterranean garages incorporated into the design of the project

Landscape Design

🛛 yes 🗌 n/a 🗌 no

If "no" select from below and explain:

□ Complementary to building design

 \Box Maintain existing trees when possible

Provide landscaping adjacent to driveways and garages

 \Box 20% of planting at above-grade common spaces is within 9 inches of finish floor \Box Above-grade tree wells are at least 6 inches higher than box size of tree

Walls and Fences

🗌 yes 🛛 n/a 🗌 no

If "no" select from below and explain:

- $\Box \mbox{Appropriate style/color/material for building design}$
- $\Box \operatorname{\mathsf{Perimeter}}$ walls treated at both sides
- \Box Retaining walls minimized
- \Box Appropriately sized and located

Equipment, Trash, and Drainage

🗌 yes 🖂 n/a 🗌 no

If "no" select from below and explain:

- Equipment screened and well located
- □Trash storage out of public view
- $\Box \mbox{All}$ screening integrated with overall building and/or landscape design
- □ Downspouts appropriately located
- \Box Vents, utility connections integrated with design, avoid primary facades

If "no" select from below and explain:

- $\hfill\square$ Light fixtures are appropriate to the building and/or landscape design
- □ Avoid over-lit facades; consider ambient light conditions when developing lighting scheme
- □ Utilize shielded fixtures to avoid light spillover onto adjacent properties

Determination of Compatibility: Site Planning

The proposed site planning is appropriate, as modified by any proposed conditions, to the site and its surroundings for the following reasons:

- The new two-story residential dwelling unit at the rear of the property will have an attached four-car garage. This garage will serve the new residential unit as well as the existing single-family house.
- The building footprint of the existing single-family house will change with the front addition bringing the west side of the house closer to Montrose Avenue (30'-9" existing setback to 27'-1" new street front setback). The existing 24'-4" setback at the east side will remain and the new footprint will not disrupt the prevailing setback pattern.
- The new unit will be integrated with the existing site conditions and relate to the existing front dwelling and surrounding properties in the neighborhood.
- The new residential unit and garage is designed with an L-shape building footprint, which is appropriately setback from all property lines to reflect existing neighborhood setbacks.
- Access to the new garage for the existing single-family house and new rear unit will be from the existing driveway located on the west side of the property, which is consistent with the existing site conditions and other properties in the neighborhood.
- Overall, the placement of the new building at the rear of the site is appropriate because it respects the front house and adjoining properties through setbacks as recommended by the Comprehensive Guidelines. Also, areas not occupied by buildings will be landscaped with the exception of the driveway and walkways.

Building Relates to its Surrounding Context

⊠ yes 🗌 n/a 🗌 no

If "no" select from below and explain:

□ Relates to predominant pattern through appropriate proportions and transitions □ Impact of larger building minimized

Building Relates to Existing Topography

🗌 yes 🛛 n/a 🗌 no

If "no" select from below and explain: □ Form and profile follow topography □ Alteration of existing land form minimized □ Retaining walls terrace with slope

Consistent Architectural Concept

🛛 yes 🗌 n/a 🗌 no

If "no" select from below and explain:

Scale and Proportion

🛛 yes 🗌 n/a 🗌 no

If "no" select from below and explain:

□Scale and proportion fit context

□ Articulation avoids overbearing forms

- □ Appropriate solid/void relationships
- □Entry and major features well located
- □ Avoids sense of monumentality

Roof Forms

🛛 yes 🗌 n/a 🗌 no

If "no" select from below and explain: □Roof reinforces design concept

□Configuration appropriate to context

Determination of Compatibility: Mass and Scale

The proposed massing and scale are appropriate, as modified by any proposed conditions, to the site and its surroundings for the following reasons:

- The roof design, building mass and proportions of the new unit at the rear is consistent with the style of the existing single-family house and neighborhood context. The west portion of the second floor is stepped back by 3'-0" to break up the mass. The new unit at the rear will provide appropriate setbacks to avoid being overbearing towards adjacent properties.
- The addition's volumes are well articulated and set behind the new four-car garage, helping break up the overall mass.
- The new building's two-story mass and overall height of 21'-2" fits well with the existing front house and the surrounding one and two-story buildings in the neighborhood.

- The new unit is located behind the existing front unit, which is appropriately setback from the street. Having this generous setback appropriately pushes the taller new mass toward the rear of the site and will respect the single-family house at the front and adjoining properties.
- The facades of the new unit minimize a boxy profile through the use of varying forms and offsets. A mix of materials, stucco and horizontal siding integrate well with the existing front house and the neighborhood context.
- The roof of the new unit is designed with various hipped forms, which are appropriate for the traditional style proposed.

Design and Detailing

Are the following items satisfactory and compatible with the project site and surrounding area?

Overall Design and Detailing

🛛 yes 🗌 n/a 🗌 no

If "no" select from below and explain:

 $\Box \, \text{Design}$ is compatible with neighborhood context

□ Design is stylistically consistent

- Employs consistent vocabulary of forms and materials while expressing architectural variety
- \Box Cladding materials and

Entryway ⊠ yes □ n/a □ no

If "no" select from below and explain:

- \Box Well integrated into design
- $\Box \mbox{Avoids}$ sense of monumentality
- □ Design provides appropriate focal point
- $\Box \, \text{Doors}$ appropriate to design

Windows

🛛 yes 🗌 n/a 🗌 no

If "no" select from below and explain:

- \Box Appropriate to overall design
- Overall window pattern appropriate to style
- □Window operation appropriate to style
- $\Box \mbox{Recessed/flush}$ window appropriate to style and/or location
- \Box Openings are well detailed

Privacy

🛛 yes 🗌 n/a 🗌 no

If "no" select from below and explain:

□ Consideration of views from "public" rooms and balconies/roof decks □ Avoid windows facing adjacent windows

Finish Materials and Color

☐ yes ☐ n/a ⊠ no

If "no" select from below and explain:

 \boxtimes Textures and colors reinforce design

 \Box High-quality materials, especially facing the street

□ Materials appropriately enhance articulation and façade hierarchies

□Wrap corners and terminate appropriately

 \Box Cladding is well detailed, especially at junctions between materials

 \Box Foam trim, finished on site, is prohibited

The design will be enhanced if the siding color is somewhat darker, rather than the same as, the color of the walls. A condition to this effect is added.

Paving Materials

🛛 yes 🗌 n/a 🗌 no

If "no" select from below and explain:

Decorative material at entries/driveways

 \Box Permeable paving when possible

 \Box Material and color related to design

Ancillary Structures

🛛 yes 🗍 n/a 🗌 no

If "no" select from below and explain:

Design consistent with primary structure

Design and materials of gates, fences, and/or walls complement primary structure

Determination of Compatibility: Design and Detailing

The proposed design and detailing are appropriate, as modified by any proposed conditions, to the site and its surroundings for the following reasons:

- The traditional design of the new unit is appropriate to the neighborhood and the existing house.
- The placement of the proposed cement fiber siding on the new unit is appropriate, however, as proposed, the color is the same as the stucco. A condition has been added to choose a somewhat darker color to complement the white stucco.
- All windows will be vinyl, recessed with a wood sill and frame to match the proposed windows on the front house. As proposed, the windows are appropriate to the design and the neighborhood in terms of their operation and overall appearance.
- The roof material includes brown composition shingle, matching the front house.

Recommendation / Draft Record of Decision

Based on the above analysis, staff recommends **approval** of the project with **conditions**, as follow:

Conditions

1. Propose somewhat darker color for cement fiber siding at new unit for staff review.

Attachments

- 1. Location Map
- 2. Neighborhood Survey
- 3. Photos of Existing Property
- 4. Reduced Plans









ONE STORY BUILDING

ANALTSIS LOT AREA: AREA CALCULATION	7320 SQ.FT. (PER ASSESSOR MAP)	
<u>EXISTING</u> (E) HOUSE (E) GARAGE (TO BE DEMOLISHED)	864 SQ.FT. 367 SQ.FT.	ENGINEERING & DESIGN, Corp.
PROPOSED (N) ADDITION TO (E) HOUSE	266 SQ.FT.	www.kmdesigncorp.com
(N) TWO-STORY UNIT (N) ADU	800 SQ.FT. 1140 SQ.FT. 670 SQ.FT.	⊠ INFO@KMDESIGNCORP.COM @818.273.9980 6854 FOOTHILL BLVD. TUJUNGA CA 91042
FAR AND LOT COVERAGE SUMMARY MAX ALLOW FAR 65% OF LOT AREA= 4758 SQ.FT.		REVISIONS:
(E) HOUSE 864 S.F.+ ADDITION TO (E)HOUSE 266 S.F. +(N) 4 CAR GARAGE (800 S.F - 500 S.F.)=3240 SQ.FT	+(N)TWO-STORY UNIT 1140 S.F. +(N) ADU 670 S.F. =44.2%	NO.
MAX. ALLOWABLE LOT COVERAGE 50% OF LOT ARE (E) HOUSE (864 S.F.)+ ADDITION TO (E)HOUSE (266 S	EA = 3660 S.F. F.)+(N)TWO STORY UNIT (FIRST FL. 500 S.F.)	
+(N) ADU (670 S.F.) +(N) 4-CAR GARAGE (800 S.F.)= <u>LANDSCAPING</u>	3100 SQ.FT.= 42.3% OF LOT AREA OK	
REQUIRED LANDSCAPE 30 % = 2196 SQ.FT. PROPOSED LANDSCAPE = 2200 SQ.FT 30%		
PRIVATE OPEN SPACE AREA REQUIRED OPEN SPACE AREA = 40 SQ.FT. PER DW PROPOSED	'ELLING UNIT	
UNIT #1 : 72 SQ.FT UNIT #2 : 40 SQ.FT		
REQUIRED COMMON OPEN SPACE AREA = 200 SC PROPOSED =430 SQ.FT.	Q.FT. PER DWELLING UNIT	
OWNER	ENGINEER / DESIGNER	PROJECT
ARTIN BABAIAN 3419 MONTROSE AVE.,GLENDALE, CA 91214	K&M ENGINEERING AND DESIGN,CORP. 6854 FOOTHILL BLVD. TUJUNGA, CA 91042	
266 SQ.FT. (N)ADDITION TO (E) HOUSE (REMODEL), (N) TWO-STORY UNIT(1140 SQ.FT.),(N) ADU(670 SQ.I	-T.) AND (N) 4 -CAR GARAGE (800 SQ.FT.)	14 U 17 U
LEGAL DESCRIPTION		912 912
ASSESSOR'S ID NO: 5607004032 ADDRESS: 3419 MONTROSE AVI PROPERTY TYPE: RESIDENTIAL	E., GLENDALE, CA 91214	OSI CA
ZONE R3050 TRACT 7369 LOT 32		
CONSTRUCTION TYPE: V-B		
SHEET INDEX		
A1.0 SITE PLAN		0 37 II
A2.0 (E)HOUSE FLOOR PLAN (REMODI A3.0 (E) HOUSE ROOF PLAN A4.0 (E) HOUSE (E) & (N) ELEVATIONS	EL)	
A5.0(N) TOW-STORY UNIT FLOOR & ROA6.0(N) TWO-STORY ELEVATIONSA7.0(N) ADU FLOOR,ROOF PLANS AND	DOF PLANS ID ELEVATIONS	DESCRIPTION:
A8.0SECTIONSA9.0LOCATION MAPA10.0VICINITY AND SURVEY MAP		(N) ADDITION TO (E) SFD,(N) TWO-STORY
ATT PHOTOGRAPHS		UNIT,(N) ADU AND (N) 4-CAR GARAGE
-No new rooftop equipment is allowed in this zone. -Retaining walls, pools, spas, Jacuzzis, fences, and pa -There are no oak, bay, or sycamore trees on the lot or y	tio covers require seprate permits.	
		ALL DESIGN, SPECIFICATIONS, DETAILS AND INFORMATION PRESENTED ON THESE DRAWINGS ARE AND SHALL
BUILDING SHALL HAVE ADDRESS NUMBERS PLACED VISIBLE FROM THE STREET OR ROAD FRONTING THI BACKGROUND, BE ARABIC OR ALPHABETICAL LETTE) IN A POSITION THAT IS PLAINLY LEGIBLE AND E PROPERTY.NUMBERS SHALL CONTRAST WITH RS AND BE A MINIMUM OF 4" HIGH WITH A MINIMUM	REMAIN THE PROPERTY OF K&M ENGINEERING AND DESIGN, CORP. AND SHALL NOT BE USED IN ANY OTHER WORK OR PROJECT EXCEPT
		PROVIDED BY AN AGREEMENT OR WRITTEN CONSENT OF K&M ENGINEERING AND DESIGN, CORP.
THIS PROJECT SHALL COMPLY WITH: 2020 2019 CALIFORNIA BUILDING CODE 2020 2019 CALIFORNIA RESIDENTIAL CODE 2019	CITY OF GLENDALE MUNICIPAL CODE CITY OF GLENDALE ZONING CODE CPC.CMC.CEC.CGSBC.CRC.CENC.CGSBC.	
	N	H. ASPERTMONT
Subjecy Property		C 76618
	Grocerles 7 Im Hotels 75 Q. More 7. Eleven Q. Chase Bank	CIVIL CIVIL
s top		
Partice Inc V	H&H Flotheads	DRAWN BY: VK
Altura Gardens Q	El Assesta	CHECKED BY: HM
	Globel Logistics	DATE: 11-23-20
CV Kenny Staub XCountry	Plumbing & Bir Plumbing & Bir Plumbi	JOB NO.: 2020-079
Gârdenia Marko Pel & Jizzen Markovicher Del & Jizzen Tatesa Tiches		
Beg onal Park Play Area	Raff's Express Airport Car Services	SITE PLAN
St Lego D Material Carlos Angeles Material St Lego D Material S	EEstrow poration	
"Generation of the second seco	Goggle NEAREST BUS STATION	SHEET:
	(0.5 MILES)	⊔ A1.0



EXISTING FLOOR PLAN (UNIT #1) 1/4"=1'-0"

EXISTING PLAN/ PERCENTAGE OF DEMO CALC

WALL / ROOF A	AREA EXISTING	AREA REMOVED				
NORTH	264	8'-6"x21'-1" =179 S.F				
SOUTH	340 S.F.	140 S.F.				
EAST	455 S.F.	0 S.F.				
WEST	455 S.F.	0 S.F.				
ROOF	1150 S.F.	0 S.F.				
	2664 S.F.	319 S.F.				



12%



PROPOSED FLOOR PLAN (UNIT#1) 1/4"=1'-0"

WINDOW SCHEDULE

(INDOW NUMBER	QUANTITY	EXISTING WIDTH x HEIGHT	NEW WIDTH X HEIGHT	EXISTING MATERIAL	NEW MATERIAL	VISIBLE FROM THE STREET? Y/N	EXISTING OPERATION	NEW OPERATION	NEW FRAME TYPE	EXTERNAL GRID(SDL Y/N	KEEP EXISTING SILL & FRAME?	BUILD NEW SILL & FRAME? Y/N	(e) edge Detail	New Edge Detail	BEDROOM3 Y/N	? ENERGY EFFICIENT? Y/N	TEMPERED GLASS? Y/N	FIRE HAZARD ZONE? Y/N	WINDOW WITHIN 18" OF FLOOR OR 24" OF DOOR? Y/N	existing Window	U-FACTOR	SHGC
$\langle A \rangle$	1	2'-4"x4'-6"	2'-4"x4'-6"	VINYL	-	Y	SINGLE HUNG	_	BLOCK	N	Y	N	_	_	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	0.32	0.25
B	2	1'-0''x4'-6''	1'-0"x4'-6"	VINYL	-	Y	SINGLE HUNG	_	BLOCK	N	Y	Ν	_	_	Y	Y	Y	Y	Ν	Y	0.32	0.25
$\langle C \rangle$	1	2'-10''x3'-10''	2'-10''x3'-10''	VINYL	_	N	SINGLE HUNG	_	BLOCK	N	Y	Ν	-	_	Y	Y	Y	Y	Ν	Y	0.32	0.25
$\langle D \rangle$	2	—	3'-0''x1'-0''	_	VINYL	N	_	SLIDING	BLOCK	N	_	Y	_	_	N	Y	Y	Y	Ν	Ν	0.32	0.25
E	1	_	5'-0''x3'-0''	_	VINYL	N	_	SLIDING	BLOCK	N	-	Y	-	_	Y	Y	Y	Y	Ν	Ν	0.32	0.25
$\langle F \rangle$	1	_	2'-6"x6'-0"	_	VINYL	N	_	CASEMENT/FIX	BLOCK	N	-	Y	-	_	Y	Y	Y	Y	Ν	Ν	0.32	0.25
$\langle G \rangle$	1	2'-10''x2'-10''	2'-10''x2'-10''	VINYL	-	N	SLIDING	_	BLOCK	N	Y	Ν	-	_	N	Y	Y	Y	Ν	Y	0.32	0.25
$\langle H \rangle$	1	4'-0''x3'-0''	3'-10"x2'-10"	VINYL	_	N	SLIDING	SINGLE HUNG	BLOCK	N	Y	Ν	_	_	N	Y	Y	Y	Ν	Y	0.32	0.25
$\langle I \rangle$	2	2'-10''x3'-10''	2'-10''x3'-10''	VINYL	-	N	SLIDING	SINGLE HUNG	BLOCK	N	Y	Ν	_	_	N	Y	Y	Y	Ν	Y	0.32	0.25
$\langle L \rangle$	1	—	6'-0''x4'-0''	_	VINYL	Y	_	S.HUNG/FIX /S.HUNG	BLOCK	N	-	Y	_	_	N	Y	Y	Y	Ν	Ν	0.32	0.25
																ALL [DOORS &	WINDOW	S SHALL MEET CITY OF C	GLENDALE 'S S	ECURITY ORDI	NANCE.



LEGEND:

(E) WALL NEW WALL TO BE FILLED TO BE DEMOLISHED ADDITION WINDOW DOOR INTERCONNECTED HARD-WIRED "SMOKE ALARM" WITH BATTERY BACKUP CARBON MONOXIDE DETECTORS WITH BATTERY BACK-UP INTERCONNECTED HARD-WIRED 5 MIN. FAN AIR CHANGES/HR 50 CFM (SEE GREEN NOTES). -FANS SHALL BE ENERGY STAR COMPLIANT w/ HUMID START AND BE DUCTED TO TERMINATE TO THE OUTSIDE OF THE BUILDING . -FANS NOT FUNCTIONING AS A COMPONENT OF A WHOLE HOUSE VENTILATION SYSTEM, MUST BE CONTROLLED BY A HUMIDITY CONTROL. -KITCHEN FAN TO BE 100 CFM ,3 SONE MAX.

DOOR SCHEDULE

NO.	SIZE	QTY.	THCK.	MATERIAL	TYPE	REMARKS	CONDITION
1	6'-5"x6'-8"	1	STD	WOOD	EXTERIOR	DOUBLE SWINGING	NEW
2	2'-8"x6'-8"	5	STD	WOOD	INTERIOR	SWINGING	NEW
3	3'-0"x6'-8"	1	STD	WOOD	EXTERIOR	SWINGING	EXISTING









1/4"=1'-0"







PROPOSED WEST ELEVATION





WINDOW NUMBER	QUANTITY	EXISTING WIDTH x HEIGHT	NEW WIDTH X HEIGHT	EXISTING MATERIAL	NEW MATERIAL	VISIBLE FROM THE STREET? Y/N	EXISTING OPERATION	NEW OPERATION	NEW FRAME TYPE	EXTERNAL GRID(SDL) Y/N	KEEP EXISTING SILL & FRAME?	BUILD NEW SILL & FRAME? Y/N
	2	_	3'-0''x3'-0''	_	VINYL	N	_	SLIDING	BLOCK	N	_	Y
B	2	_	2'-0''x3'-0''	_	VINYL	N	_	SINGLE HUNG	BLOCK	N	—	Y
C	2	_	6'-0''x4'-0''	_	VINYL	N	_	SLIDING	BLOCK	N	_	Y
	3	_	6'-0''x3'-0''	_	VINYL	N	_	SLIDING	BLOCK	N	_	Y
E	1	_	3'-0''x1'-0''	_	VINYL	N	_	SLIDING	BLOCK	N	_	Y
F	1	_	4'-0''x3'-0''	_	VINYL	N	_	SLIDING	BLOCK	N	_	Y
G	1	_	6'-0''x3'-0''	_	VINYL	N	_	S.H./FIX/S.H.	BLOCK	N	_	Y
H	2	-	2'-0''x2'-0''	_	VINYL	N	_	FIX	BLOCK	N	_	Y
		•									•	









WINDOW	QUANT	ITY EXISTING WIDTH x HEIGHT	NEW WIDTH X HEIGHT	EXISTING MATERIAL	NEW MATERIA	L FROM THE STREET? Y/N	EXISTING OPERATION	NEW OPERATION	NEW FRAME TYPE	EXTERNAL GRID(SDL) Y/N	KEEP EXISTING SILL & FRAME?	BUILD NEV SILL & FRAME? Y/N	(E) EDGE DETAIL	NEW EDGE DETAIL	BEDROOM? Y/N	PENERGY EFFICIENT? Y/N	TEMPERED GLASS? Y/N	FIRE HAZARD ZONE? Y/N	WINDOW WITHIN 18" OF FLOOR OR 24" OF DOOR? Y/N	existing Window	U-FACTOR	SHGC
$\langle A \rangle$	2	_	5'-0''x4'-0''	_	VINYL	N	_	SLIDING	BLOCK	Ν	_	Y	-	_	N	Y	Y	Y	N	Ν	0.32	0.25
B	2	_	5'-0''x3'-0''	-	VINYL	N	_	SLIDING	BLOCK	N	-	Y	-	_	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Ν	0.32	0.25
C	1	_	3'-0''x3'-0''	-	VINYL	N	_	SLIDING	BLOCK	Ν	_	Y	_	_	N	Y	Y	Y	Ν	Ν	0.32	0.25
	1	_	2'-0''x3'-0''	-	VINYL	N	_	SINGLE HUNG	BLOCK	Ν	_	Y	-	_	N	Y	Y	Y	N	Ν	0.32	0.25
																ALI	DOORS	& WINDO	WS SHALL MEET CITY O	F GLENDALE 'S	SECURITY OR	DINANCE

ATTIC AREA VENT. CALCULATION (N)ROOF @ FLOOR ATTIC AREA =670 SQ.FT. 670/150=4.4 SQ.FT. =633.6 SQ.IN. REQ'D 500 CFM Powered Attic Fan OR SIMILAR, AT CONTRACTOR'S OPTION

LEGEND:

	.	
	۲	INTERCO WITH BA
	CM	CARBON WITH BA
	æ	5 MIN. FA (SEE GRE
/		-FANS SH

0_____

<u>[</u>]

NEW	WALL

WINDOW

DOOR

- INTERCONNECTED HARD-WIRED "SMOKE ALARM" WITH BATTERY BACKUP
- CARBON MONOXIDE DETECTORS WITH BATTERY BACK-UP INTERCONNECTED HARD-WIRED
- 5 MIN. FAN AIR CHANGES/HR 50 CFM (SEE GREEN NOTES).

-FANS SHALL BE ENERGY STAR COMPLIANT w/ HUMID START AND BE DUCTED TO TERMINATE TO THE OUTSIDE OF THE BUILDING . -FANS NOT FUNCTIONING AS A COMPONENT OF A WHOLE HOUSE VENTILATION SYSTEM, MUST BE

CONTROLLED BY A HUMIDITY CONTROL. -KITCHEN FAN TO BE 100 CFM ,3 SONE MAX.

DOOR SCHEDULE

NO.	SIZE	QTY.	THCK.	MATERIAL	TYPE	REMARKS	CONDITION
1	3'-0"x6'-8"	1	STD	WOOD	EXTERIOR	SWINGING	NEW
2	2'-8"x6'-8"	4	STD	WOOD	INTERIOR	SWINGING	NEW















SECTION D-D (NEW ADU) 1/4"=1'-0"







