

CITY OF GLENDALE, CA

ADMINISTRATIVE DESIGN REVIEW STAFF REPORT - MULTI-FAMILY

November 18, 2021 1037 Ruberta Avenue

Decision Date Address

Administrative Design Review (ADR) 5623-027-013

Review Type APN

PDR2115117 Louis Romero

Case Number Applicant

Minjee Hahm Vartan Bursalyan

Case Planner Owner

Project Summary

The applicant is proposing to demolish an existing 1,010 square-foot (SF) dwelling (built in 1925) with a detached, one-car garage and construct two detached dwelling units (1,765 square-feet and 1,746 square-feet respectively) with attached two-car garages on a 7,275 square-foot lot, located in the R-2250 (Medium Density Residential) Zone.

Environmental Review

The project is exempt from CEQA review as a Class 3 "New Construction or Conversion of Small Structures" exemption pursuant to Section 15303 of the State CEQA Guidelines because the project involves the development of not more than six dwelling units.

Existing Property/Background

The project site is a 7,275SF, rectangular lot on the northwestern side of Ruberta Avenue. The site is currently developed with an approximately 1,010 square-foot, one-story, single-family residence (constructed in 1925) with a detached garage. The surrounding neighborhood features a mix of multi-family and single-family developments in a variety of architectural styles, with building heights ranging from one- to two-stories.

The project was reviewed by the City's historic preservation planner. It was found to not be eligible for historic designation at the local, state, or federal level because of extensive alterations, including the addition of stucco cladding. It is therefore not considered a historic resource under CEQA.

Staff Recommendation

Approve with Conditions

Last Date Reviewed / Decision

First time submittal for final review.

Zone: R2250 - Medium Density Residential Although this design review does not convey final zoning approval, the project has been reviewed for consistency with the applicable Codes and no inconsistencies have been identified.
Active/Pending Permits and Approvals None.
Site Slope and Grading None proposed.
DESIGN ANALYSIS
Site Planning Are the following items satisfactory and compatible with the project site and surrounding area?
Building Location ⊠ yes □ n/a □ no
If "no" select from below and explain:
☐ Setbacks of buildings on site
☐ Prevailing setbacks on the street
Yards and Usable Open Space ⊠ yes □ n/a □ no
If "no" select from below and explain:
 Outdoor space integrated into site design and acknowledges adjacent development
☐ Common space easily accessible from all units
☐ Appropriate separation/screening from residential units
☐ Discrete seating and amenity areas allow for multiple users
Garage Location and Driveway ⊠ yes □ n/a □ no
If "no" select from below and explain:
☐ Garage fully integrated into overall structure
☐ Driveway and curb-cut widths minimized ☐ Grade level garages and parking if allowed, are appropriately screened from
□ Grade-level garages and parking, if allowed, are appropriately screened from the street
☐ Decorative paving complements building design
□ Stairs and lifts to subterranean garages incorporated into the design of the project
Landscape Design
⊠ yes □ n/a □ no

If "no" select from below and explain:

☐ Complementary to building design
☐ Maintain existing trees when possible
☐ Provide landscaping adjacent to driveways and garages
□ 20% of planting at above-grade common spaces is within 9 inches of finish floo□ Above-grade tree wells are at least 6 inches higher than box size of tree
Walls and Fences □ yes □ n/a ☒ no
If "no" select from below and explain: ☐ Appropriate style/color/material for building design ☐ Perimeter walls treated at both sides ☐ Retaining walls minimized ☒ Appropriately sized and located
The applicant proposes brick-finished posts and gate at the right side of the front unit A condition is included requiring the applicant clarify that the posts/gate are set back behind the building façade of Unit A.
Equipment, Trash, and Drainage ⊠ yes □ n/a □ no
If "no" select from below and explain: ☐ Equipment screened and well located ☐ Trash storage out of public view ☐ All screening integrated with overall building and/or landscape design ☐ Downspouts appropriately located ☐ Vents, utility connections integrated with design, avoid primary facades
The proposed equipments and trash storage areas are located appropriately and screened from public view. The downspouts for both units are located appropriately and well-integrated into the overall design as shown on elevation drawings.
Lighting □ yes □ n/a ⊠ no
 If "no" select from below and explain: □ Light fixtures are appropriate to the building and/or landscape design □ Avoid over-lit facades; consider ambient light conditions when developing lighting scheme □ Utilize shielded fixtures to avoid light spillover onto adjacent properties
Proposed exterior light fixtures are appropriate to the building and placed at logical locations, such as entry ways, garages, and balconies without over-lighting facades. However, a condition of approval has been included requiring the application to provide cut sheets of the proposed fixtures.

Determination of Compatibility: Site Planning

The proposed site planning is appropriate, as modified by any proposed conditions, to the site and its surroundings for the following reasons:

- The siting and building footprint for the new dwelling units are designed to address the minimum and average interior setback requirements per the Zoning Code, and does not affect the prevailing street front setbacks on Ruberta Avenue.
- The new, detached, two-story dwelling units with attached, two-car garages are designed to resemble single-family homes and are placed in such a way that the front unit (Unit A) will be facing Ruberta Avenue, while the rear unit (Unit B) will be hidden from street view; the two dwellings are separated by a hardscape area that serves both as a driveway and maneuvering area for the dwelling units' residents.
- The attached garages for both dwelling units are fully integrated into the respective dwellings and are appropriate for the narrow property. Unit A is designed with a garage that faces the rear of the property, while Unit B is designed with a front-facing garage. These garages will be accessible from Ruberta Avenue by a newly improved, 10-foot driveway.
- Common open space totaling 586 SF (split into two areas, 345 SF at the side of Unit A and 241 SF at the side of Unit B) with landscaping and benches is proposed and will be easily accessible from both units.
- The proposed landscaping plan is complementary to the development of the site and complies with the minimum landscaping requirement for the zone.
- The applicant proposes brick-finished posts and a gate at the right side of the front unit. A condition is included requiring the applicant clarify that the posts/gate are located behind the building facade of Unit A.
- The proposed equipment and trash storage areas are located appropriately and screened from public view. The downspouts for both units are located appropriately and well-integrated into the overall design as shown on the elevation drawings.
- Proposed exterior light fixtures are appropriate to the building and placed at logical locations, such as entry ways, garages, and balconies without over-lighting facades. However, a condition of approval has been included requiring the application to provide cut sheets of the proposed fixtures of the new dwelling units.

Massing and Scale

Are the following items satisfactory and compatible with the project site and surrounding area?

Building Relates to its Surrounding Context ⊠ yes □ n/a □ no
If "no" select from below and explain: □ Relates to predominant pattern through appropriate proportions and transitions □ Impact of larger building minimized
Building Relates to Existing Topography ☑ yes □ n/a □ no
If "no" select from below and explain: □ Form and profile follow topography

☐ Alteration of existing land form minimized
☐ Retaining walls terrace with slope
Consistent Architectural Concept ⊠ yes □ n/a □ no
If "no" select from below and explain:
□ Concept governs massing and height
Scale and Proportion ⊠ yes □ n/a □ no
If "no" select from below and explain:
☐Scale and proportion fit context
☐Articulation avoids overbearing forms
☐Appropriate solid/void relationships
□Entry and major features well located
□Avoids sense of monumentality
Roof Forms
⊠ yes □ n/a □ no
If "no" select from below and explain:
☐Roof reinforces design concept
☐Configuration appropriate to context

Determination of Compatibility: Mass and Scale

The proposed massing and scale are appropriate, as modified by any proposed conditions, to the site and its surroundings for the following reasons:

- The property is located in a multi-family zone where the neighborhood is predominantly comprised of two-story multi-family developments and a few singlefamily homes that are designed in a variety of architectural styles.
- The new dwelling units will have heights of 21-feet, 2-inches (Unit A) and 21-feet, 8-inches (Unit B), which is less than the 26 feet maximum permitted in the R-2250 zone.
- The proposed floor area ratio for both units combined is 0.6, where a maximum of 0.85 is allowed by Code.
- The varied height of gable, cross gable and shed roofs, a mix of cladding materials including stone veneer and wood siding, and offset walls help break up the overall massing for the proposed dwelling units in a manner that creates visual interest and lessens the impact of two-story buildings on the street. The proposed dwelling units are compatible with the scale of the neighborhood.
- The proposed dwellings will utilize gable, cross gable and shed roof forms. The rear unit employs a 4:12 pitch throughout the whole building, and the front unit integrates both 4:12 and 3:12 pitches in order to create more meaningful breaks in walls that work well with the overall design of the dwelling unit.

Design	and	Detail	lina

Are the following items satisfactory and compatible with the project site and surrounding area?

Overall Design and Detailing ⊠ yes □ n/a □ no
 If "no" select from below and explain: □ Design is compatible with neighborhood context □ Design is stylistically consistent □ Employs consistent vocabulary of forms and materials while expressing architectural variety □ Cladding materials and features such as balconies, canopies, and trim elements enhance the architectural concept and are applied around the building
Entryway ⊠ yes □ n/a □ no
If "no" select from below and explain: □Well integrated into design □Avoids sense of monumentality □Design provides appropriate focal point □Doors appropriate to design
Windows ☐ yes ☐ n/a ☒ no If "no" select from below and explain: ☐ Appropriate to overall design ☒ Overall window pattern appropriate to style ☐ Window operation appropriate to style ☐ Recessed/flush window appropriate to style and/or location ☒ Openings are well detailed
The new windows will have black fiberglass frames with combination of casement, fixed, sliding, and single hung operations with external grids. The windows are complementary to the style of the new dwellings and will be recessed with new sills and trim. Overall, the proposed windows on the building are appropriate for the design of the new units. However, a condition is added requiring that the provided vertical window section detail be revised to clearly show the new sills and trim, as shown on elevations and renderings. In addition, the proposed window grid pattern is inconsistent, showing vertical, horizontal, and square patterns. A condition is included requiring the applicant to restudy the window sizes for more consistency and to provide revised drawings for staff review and approval prior to submittal.
Finish Materials and Color ⊠ yes □ n/a □ no
If "no" select from below and explain: ☐ Textures and colors reinforce design

☐ High-quality materials, especially facing the street
☐ Materials appropriately enhance articulation and façade hierarchies
☐ Wrap corners and terminate appropriately
☐ Cladding is well detailed, especially at junctions between materials
☐ Foam trim, finished on site, is prohibited
Paving Materials ⊠ yes □ n/a □ no
If "no" select from below and explain:
☐ Decorative material at entries/driveways
☐ Permeable paving when possible
☐ Material and color related to design
Ancillary Structures
□ yes ⊠ n/a □ no
If "no" select from below and explain:
☐ Design consistent with primary structure
☐ Design and materials of gates, fences, and/or walls complement primary
structure

Determination of Compatibility: Design and Detailing

The proposed design and detailing are appropriate, as modified by any proposed conditions, to the site and its surroundings for the following reasons:

- The surrounding neighborhood features a mix of architectural styles. The
 contemporary style of the proposed new units with attached garages that are hidden
 from street view are appropriate for the site and neighborhood.
- Entryway porches for the new dwelling units are nicely integrated and highlight the entryways.
- The new windows will be black colored fiberglass frames with a combination of casement, fixed, sliding, and single hung operations with external grids. The windows are complementary to the style of the new dwellings and will be recessed with new sills and trim. Overall, the proposed windows on the building are appropriate for the design of the new units. However, a condition is added requiring that the provided vertical window section detail be revised to clearly show the new sills and trim, as shown on elevations and renderings. In addition, the proposed window grid pattern is inconsistent, showing vertical, horizontal, and square patterns. A condition is included requiring the applicant to restudy the window sizes for more consistency and to provide revised drawings for staff review and approval prior to submittal.
- The proposed materials include grey standing seam metal roof, grey manufactured stone veneer, light-colored stucco, and light-colored cement vertical siding, which are suitable for the proposed design. Because the proposed material board lacks information for other materials that are shown on elevations and renderings, a

condition is included requiring the applicant to provide information for all proposed materials.

• Both units feature balconies. The front unit (Unit A) features two balconies on the second floor. The front-facing balcony is 22 square-feet and the side balcony is 50 square-feet. These balconies are fully integrated into the unit and feature dark horizontal railings. Neither of the two balconies appear to pose a privacy issue, as the front-facing balcony has an ample street-front setback and southwestern interior setback due to the driveway, and the side-facing balcony also is adequately set back from the northeastern interior property line.

The rear unit (Unit B) also features two balconies on the second floor. The front-facing balcony is 24.75 square-feet and the rear balcony is 30.25 square feet. These balconies are also fully integrated into the unit and features dark horizontal railings. Similar to the front unit, neither of the two balconies appear to pose a privacy issue as the front-facing balcony looks out toward the front unit, and the rear balcony is adequately set back from the rear property line. However, a condition is included requiring the applicant to provide details for the proposed railing material on the material board.

 Decorative permeable paving materials are proposed for the driveway, consistent with the City's Design Guidelines.

Recommendation / Draft Record of Decision

Based on the above analysis, staff recommends **approval** of the project with **conditions**, as follow:

Conditions:


- 1. Clarify that the posts/gate are located behind building façade of Unit A.
- 2. Revise vertical window section detail to show sills and trim.
- 3. Restudy window grid patterns and provide revised drawings for staff review prior to submittal.
- 4. Provide information and details for: proposed railings, gate, driveway gate, posts, light fixtures on the material board or provide call-outs for all exterior material on elevations.
- 5. Exterior cladding material to wrap at interior corners of Unit A on north and east elevations.

Attachments

- 1. Reduced Plans
- 2. Photos of Existing Property
- 3. Vicinity and Photographic Survey
- 4. Location Map

L.ROMERO





Design + Planning + Development 24270 WALNUT STREET SANTA CLARITA, CA 91321

LOUISDESIGNSTUDIO@GMAIL.COM

CONSULTANT'S STAMP

REVISIONS

1037 RUBERTA GLENDALE CA

January 2020


L.ROMERO


R.ROMERO

PERMIT NUMBER:


NEIGHBORHOOD SITEPLAN

A1.3






2nf FLOOR SET BACKS



1st FLOOR SET BACKS SCALE: 1/8" = 1'-0"



LOUIS DESIGN STUDIO
PLANNING+DEVELOPMENT

Design + Planning + Development 24270 WALNUT STREET SANTA CLARITA, CA 91321

C. + 661 510 7232 | W. + 661 312 4453 LOUISDESIGNSTUDIO@GMAIL.COM

LOUIS-DESIGNSTUDIO.COM

CONSULTANT'S STAMP

PROJECT TITLE:

37 RUBERTA AVE

DESIGNED BY:

L.ROMERO

DRAWN BY:

R.ROMERO

PERMIT NUMBER:


RESIDENC


CHEET TITLE

SETBACKS

SHEET NUMBI

A1.4





L O U I S
D E S I G N
S T U D I O

Design + Planning + Development
24270 WALNUT STREET
SANTA CLARITA, CA 91321
C. + 661 510 7232 | W. + 661 312 4453

LOUISDESIGNSTUDIO@GMAIL.COM

CONSULTANT'S STAMP

PROJECT TITLE:

1037 RUBERTA AVE

DATE:

APRIL 2021

DESIGNED BY:

L.ROMERO

DRAWN BY:

R.ROMERO

PERMIT NUMBER:

RESIDENCE

NEW


SHEET TITLE:


FLOOR PLAN -UNIT A


SHEET NUMBER:

NORTH

A2.1







Design + Planning + Development
24270 WALNUT STREET
SANTA CLARITA, CA 91321
C. + 661 510 7232 | W. + 661 312 4453

C. + 661 510 7232 | W. + 661 312 4453

LOUISDESIGNSTUDIO@GMAIL.COM

LOUIS - DESIGNSTUDIO.COM

CONSULTANT'S STAMP

PROJECT TITLE:

1037 RUBERTA AVE GLENDALE CA 91201

DATE:

APRIL 2021

DESIGNED BY:

L.ROMERO

DRAWN BY:

R.ROMERO

PERMIT NUMBER:

RESIDENCE


CHEET TITL


FLOOR PLAN -UNIT B


SHEET NUMBER:

NORTH


A2.2








	WINDOW SCHEDULE												DOOR SCHEDULE												
WINDOW NUMBER	MANFACTURE	TYPE	WIDTH	HEIGHT	MATERIAL	VISIBLE FROM STREET? (Y/N)	l l	FRAME TYPE	EXTERNAL GRID	KEEP SILL AND FRAME? (Y/N)	BUILD NEW SILL AND FRAME? (Y/N)	EDGE DETAIL	BEDROOM? (Y/N)	ENERGY EFFICIENT (Y/N)	TEMPERED GLASS? (Y/N)	FIRE DAZARD	WINDOW W/IN 18" OF FLOOR OR 40" OF DOOR		QUANITY	WIDTH	HEIGHT	MATERIAL	OPERATION	TEMPERED GLASS? (Y/N)	REMARKS
101	MILGARD - TUSCANY SERIES V400	A	2'-4"	4'-0"	Fiberglass	YES	CASEMENT	NAIL-IN	YES	NO	YES	FLAT	NO	YES	NO	NO	NO	D1	2	3'-0"	7'-0"	VINYL / GLASS	SWING	YES	
102	MILGARD - TUSCANY SERIES V400	A	2'-4"	4'-0"	Fiberglass	YES	CASEMENT	NAIL-IN	YES	NO	YES	FLAT	NO	YES	NO	NO	NO	D2	7	2'-8"	7'-0"	HOLLOW CORE	SWING	NO	
103	MILGARD - TUSCANY SERIES V400	A	2'-4"	4'-0"	Fiberglass	YES	CASEMENT	NAIL-IN	YES	NO	YES	FLAT	NO	YES	YES	NO	NO	D3	3	2'-8'	7'-0"	HOLLOW CORE	POCKET	NO	
104	MILGARD - TUSCANY SERIES V400	А	2'-4"	4'-0"	Fiberglass	YES	CASEMENT	NAIL-IN	YES	NO	YES	FLAT	NO	YES	NO	NO	NO	D4	1	3'-0"	7'-0"	VINYL / GLASS	SWING	YES	
105	MILGARD - TUSCANY SERIES V400	А	2'-4"	4'-0"	Fiberglass	NO	CASEMENT	NAIL-IN	YES	NO	YES	FLAT	NO	YES	NO	NO	NO	D5	1	3'-0"	7'-0"	SOLID CORE	SWING	NO	
106	MILGARD - TUSCANY SERIES V400	В	2'-0"	3'-6"	Fiberglass	NO	CASEMENT	NAIL-IN	YES	NO	YES	FLAT	NO	YES	NO	NO	NO	D6	2	6'-0"	7'-0"	VINYL / GLASS	SWING	YES	
107	MILGARD - TUSCANY SERIES V400	А	2'-0"	4'-0"	Fiberglass	NO	CASEMENT	NAIL-IN	YES	NO	YES	FLAT	NO	YES	YES	NO	YES	D7	1	3'-0"	7'-0"	VINYL / GLASS	SWING	YES	
108	MILGARD - TUSCANY SERIES IV400	С	2'-0"	3'-0"	Fiberglass	NO	FIXED	NAIL-IN	YES	NO	YES	FLAT	NO	YES	YES	NO	NO								
109	MILGARD - TUSCANY SERIES V400	D	2'-0"	5'-0"	Fiberglass	NO	FIXED	NAIL-IN	YES	NO	YES	FLAT	NO	YES	YES	NO	NO								
201	MILGARD - TUSCANY SERIES V400	Е	2'-4"	3'-0"	Fiberglass	NO	CASEMENT	NAIL-IN	YES	NO	YES	FLAT	YES	YES	NO	NO	NO								
202	MILGARD - TUSCANY SERIES V400	Е	2'-4"	3'-0"	Fiberglass	NO	CASEMENT	NAIL-IN	YES	NO	YES	FLAT	YES	YES	NO	NO	NO								
203	MILGARD - TUSCANY SERIES V400	E	2'-4"	3'-0"	Fiberglass	NO	CASEMENT	NAIL-IN	YES	NO	YES	FLAT	YES	YES	NO	NO	NO								
204	MILGARD - TUSCANY SERIES V400	F	2'-0"	2'-0"	Fiberglass	NO	CASEMENT	NAIL-IN	YES	NO	YES	FLAT	NO	YES	YES	NO	NO								
205	MILGARD - TUSCANY SERIES V400	F	2'-0"	2'-0"	Fiberglass	NO	CASEMENT	NAIL-IN	YES	NO	YES	FLAT	NO	YES	YES	NO	NO								
206	MILGARD - TUSCANY SERIES V400	G	2'-4"	5'-0"	Fiberglass	NO	SINGLE HUNG	NAIL-IN	YES	NO	YES	FLAT	YES	YES	NO	NO	NO								
207	MILGARD - TUSCANY SERIES V400	G	2'-4"	5'-0"	Fiberglass	NO	SINGLE HUNG	NAIL-IN	YES	NO	YES	FLAT	YES	YES	NO	NO	NO								
208	MILGARD - TUSCANY SERIES V400	A	2'-0"	4'-0"	Fiberglass	NO	CASEMENT	NAIL-IN	YES	NO	YES	FLAT	NO	YES	NO	NO	NO								
209	MILGARD - TUSCANY SERIES V400	A	2'-0"	4'-0"	Fiberglass	NO	FIX	NAIL-IN	YES	NO	YES	FLAT	NO	YES	NO	NO	NO								





Design + Planning + Development 24270 WALNUT STREET SANTA CLARITA, CA 91321

C. + 661 510 7232 | W. + 661 312 4453 louisdesignstudio@gmail.com

LOUIS-DESIGNSTUDIO.COM CONSULTANT'S STAMP

REVISIONS NO. DATE DESCRIPTION

PROJECT TITLE:

RESIDENCE

NEW

1037 RUBERTA


DATE: **APRIL 2021** L.ROMERO DRAWN BY: R.ROMERO


PERMIT NUMBER:

DOOR AND WINDOW SCHEDULE - UNIT A

WINDOW SECTION

								WI	INDOW SCI	HEDULE											DOO	R SCHEDU	LE		
WINDOW NUMBER	MANFACTURE	TYPE	WIDTH	HEIGHT	MATERIAL	VISIBLE FRO STREET? (Y/N)		FRAME TYPE	EXTERNAL GRID	KEEP SILL AND FRAME (Y/N)		EDGE DETAIL	BEDROOM? (Y/N)	ENERGY EFFICIENT (Y/N)		FIRE HAZARD ZONE? (Y/N)			QUANITY	WIDTH	HEIGHT	MATERIAL	OPERATION	TEMPERED GLASS? (Y/N)	
101	MILGARD - TUSCANY SERIES V400		2'-0"	5'-0"	Fiberglass	NO	FIXED	NAIL-IN	YES	NO	YES	FLAT	NO	YES	YES	NO	YES	D1	1	3'-0"	7'-0"	VINYL / GLASS	SWING	YES	L O U I S D E S I G N S T U D I O
102	MILGARD - TUSCANY SERIES V400		2'-0"	5'-0"	Fiberglass	NO	SINGLE HUNG	NAIL-IN	YES	NO	YES	FLAT	NO	YES	NO	NO	NO	D2	9	2'-8"	7'-0"	HOLLOW CORE	SWING	NO	PLANNING+DEVELOPMENT
103	MILGARD - TUSCANY SERIES V400		2'-0"	5'-0"	Fiberglass	NO	SINGLE HUNG	NAIL-IN	YES	NO	YES	FLAT	NO	YES	NO	NO	NO	D3	1	2'-8'	7'-0"	HOLLOW CORE	POCKET	NO	Design + Planning + Developme 24270 WALNUT STREET SANTA CLARITA, CA 91321
104	MILGARD - TUSCANY SERIES V400		2'-0"	5'-0"	Fiberglass	NO	SINGLE HUNG	NAIL-IN	YES	NO	YES	FLAT	NO	YES	NO	NO	NO	D4	-	3'-0"	7'-0"	VINYL / GLASS	SWING	YES	C. + 661 510 7232 W. + 661 312 4453 LOUISDESIGNSTUDIO@GMAIL.COM
105	MILGARD - TUSCANY SERIES V400		2'-0"	5'-0"	Fiberglass	NO	SINGLE HUNG	NAIL-IN	YES	NO	YES	FLAT	NO	YES	YES	NO	YES	D5	1	3'-0"	7'-0"	SOLID CORE	SWING	NO	LOUIS - DESIGNSTUDIO. COM
106	MILGARD - TUSCANY SERIES V400		2'-0"	5'-0"	Fiberglass	NO	SINGLE HUNG	NAIL-IN	YES	NO	YES	FLAT	NO	YES	NO	NO	NO	D6	2	6'-0"	7'-0"	VINYL / GLASS	SWING	YES	
107	MILGARD - TUSCANY SERIES V400		2'-0"	5'-0"	Fiberglass	NO	SINGLE HUNG	NAIL-IN	YES	NO	YES	FLAT	NO	YES	NO	NO	NO	D7	1	3'-0"	7'-0"	VINYL / GLASS	SWING	YES	
108	MILGARD - TUSCANY SERIES V400		2'-0"	3'-0"	Fiberglass	NO	CASEMENT	NAIL-IN	YES	NO	YES	FLAT	NO	YES	YES	NO	NO								REVISIONS
201	MILGARD - TUSCANY SERIES V400		2'-0"	3'-0"	Fiberglass	NO	FIXED	NAIL-IN	YES	NO	YES	FLAT	NO	YES	NO	NO	NO								NO. DATE DESCRIPTION ———————————————————————————————————
202	MILGARD - TUSCANY SERIES V400		2'-0"	3'-0"	Fiberglass	NO	FIXED	NAIL-IN	YES	NO	YES	FLAT	NO	YES	NO	NO	NO								
203	MILGARD - TUSCANY SERIES V400		2'-0"	3'-0"	Fiberglass	NO	FIXED	NAIL-IN	YES	NO	YES	FLAT	NO	YES	NO	NO	NO								PROJECT TITLE:
204	MILGARD - TUSCANY SERIES V400		2'-4"	5'-0"	Fiberglass	NO	SINGLE HUNG	NAIL-IN	YES	NO	YES	FLAT	YES	YES	NO	NO	NO								
205	MILGARD - TUSCANY SERIES V400		3'-0"	2'-0"	Fiberglass	NO	SLIDER	NAIL-IN	YES	NO	YES	FLAT	NO	YES	NO	NO	NO								
206	MILGARD - TUSCANY SERIES V400		2'-0"	2'-0"	Fiberglass	NO	CASEMENT	NAIL-IN	YES	NO	YES	FLAT	NO	YES	YES	NO	NO								
207	MILGARD - TUSCANY SERIES V400		4'-0"	3'-6"	Fiberglass	NO	SLIDER	NAIL-IN	YES	NO	YES	FLAT	YES	YES	NO	NO	NO								
208	MILGARD - TUSCANY SERIES V400		2'-0"	2'-0"	Fiberglass	NO	CASEMENT	NAIL-IN	YES	NO	YES	FLAT	NO	YES	YES	NO	NO								
209	MILGARD - TUSCANY SERIES V400		2'-0"	3'-6"	Fiberglass	NO	SINGLE HUNG	NAIL-IN	YES	NO	YES	FLAT	YES	YES	NO	NO	NO								E 201
210	MILGARD - TUSCANY SERIES V400		2'-4"	4'-0"	Fiberglass	NO	CASEMENT	NAIL-IN	YES	NO	YES	FLAT	NO	YES	NO	NO	NO								CE CE A 91;
211	MILGARD - TUSCANY SERIES		2'-4"	4'-0"	Fiberglass	NO	CASEMENT	NAIL-IN	YES	NO	YES	FLAT	NO	YES	NO	NO	NO								






NEW RESIDENCE

1037 RUBERTA GLENDALE CA **APRIL 2021**

L.ROMERO R.ROMERO

PERMIT NUMBER:

DOOR AND WINDOW SCHEDULE - UNIT B



FRONT RESIDENCE - UNIT A FRONT STREET VIEW



FRONT RESIDENCE - UNIT A REAR VIEW



FRONT RESIDENCE - UNIT A FRONT STREET VIEW



FRONT RESIDENCE - UNIT A REAR VIEW

LOUIS DESIGN STUDIO	
PLANNING+DEVELOPMENT	

Design + Planning + Development 24270 WALNUT STREET SANTA CLARITA, CA 91321 C. + 661 510 7232 | W. + 661 312 4453 LOUISDESIGNSTUDIO@GMAIL.COM

LOUIS-DESIGNSTUDIO.COM

NEW RESIDENCE

1037 RUBERTA APRIL 2021

DESIGNED BY: L.ROMERO DRAWN BY: R.ROMERO

PERMIT NUMBER:

DATE:


SHEET TITLE:

RENDERS - UNIT A

A3.1.1



REAR RESIDENCE - UNIT B FRONT VIEW



REAR RESIDENCE - UNIT B REAR VIEW



REAR RESIDENCE - UNIT B FRONT VIEW



REAR RESIDENCE - UNIT B REAR VIEW

LOUIS DESIGN STUDIO	

Design + Planning + Development
24270 WALNUT STREET
SANTA CLARITA, CA 91321
C. + 661 510 7232 | W. + 661 312 4453
LOUISDESIGNSTUDIO@GMAIL.COM

CONSULTANT'S STAMP

LOUIS-DESIGNSTUDIO.COM

l			
	REVISIONS		
	NO.	DATE	DESCRIPTION

PROJECT TITLE:

RUBERTA AVE

APRIL 2021

DESIGNED BY:

L.ROMERO

DRAWN BY:

R.ROMERO


PERMIT NUMBER:

SHEET TITLE


RENDERS - UNIT B

SHEET NUMBER:

A3.2.1


































PHOTOGRAPHS 2 OF 2



Vicinity & Phtographic Survey 1037 RUBERTA AVE, GLENDALE, CA 91201







































ATTACHMENT 4



RADIUS MAP 1037 RUBERTA AVE, GLENDALE CA 91201 APN: 2623-027-013 500' RADIUS